

FITCH DOWNGRADES 5 ITALIAN BANKS' FOLLOWING SOVEREIGN DOWNGRADE

Link to Fitch Ratings' Report: Fitch Downgrades 5 Italian Banks' Following Sovereign Downgrade

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/897599>

Fitch Ratings-Milan/London-27 April 2017: Fitch Ratings has downgraded the Long-Term Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) of UniCredit S.p.A., Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A. (IntesaSP), Credito Emiliano (Credem) and Mediobanca S.p.A. to 'BBB' from 'BBB+'. It affirmed the banks' Short-Term IDRs at 'F2'. Fitch has also downgraded Banca Nazionale del Lavoro's (BNL) Long-Term IDR to 'BBB+' from 'A-', Short-Term IDR to 'F2' from 'F1' and Support Rating (SR) to '2' from '1'.

Fitch revised the Outlooks on all the banks to Stable from Negative, in line with Italy's rating Outlook. A full list of rating actions is given in the related Rating Action Report.

The downgrade of the five banks follows the downgrade of Italy's Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR to 'BBB' from 'BBB+' (see: "Fitch Downgrades Italy's LTFC IDR to 'BBB'; Outlook Stable" dated 21 April 2017 at www.fitchratings.com).

Fitch has also assigned a 'BBB(dcr)' Derivative Counterparty Rating (DCR) to UniCredit as part of its roll-out of DCRs to significant derivative counterparties in western Europe and the US. DCRs are issuer ratings and express Fitch's view of banks' relative vulnerability to default under derivative contracts with third-party, non-government counterparties.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

UniCredit, IntesaSP, Credem and Mediobanca's IDRs are based on their standalone credit profiles as reflected in their Viability Ratings (VRs). IntesaSP, Credem and Mediobanca's activities are predominantly domestic and therefore highly correlated with the risk profile of the Italian sovereign and exposed to the domestic economy. Fitch has therefore downgraded the VRs of the three banks to the same level as the sovereign Long-Term IDR.

For UniCredit, geographical diversification, particularly in more stable and highly rated economies such as Germany and Austria, has proved key to supporting the group's overall risk profile. However, Fitch considers that the parent bank's risk profile remains highly correlated with that of the Italian sovereign and with Italy's economy, especially given its substantial domestic non-performing loans.

BNL's downgrade reflects our view that the downgrade of Italy has reduced BNL's attractiveness to its parent BNP Paribas (BNPP, A+/Stable) by increasing the risk of BNL negatively affecting the parent group's financial profile and ultimately reducing the parent's propensity to support its Italian subsidiary.

UniCredit

VR, IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND DCR

UniCredit's ratings reflect the benefits from the EUR13 billion capital increase the bank completed in February 2017 and the planned reduction in legacy NPL stock, but also remaining problems with asset quality and earnings for the parent bank after the restructuring. The ratings also reflect the group's broad and diversified international franchise, measures being taken on costs, and a good, diversified funding and liquidity profile.

Fitch affirmed UniCredit's Short-Term IDR at 'F2', the higher of the two possibilities for a 'BBB' Long-Term IDR under our criteria, to reflect its well-diversified funding and liquid assets, which in our opinion benefit from the group's direct presence in and market access to investors in strong European countries.

The rating of the senior debt issued by UniCredit's funding vehicles, UniCredit Bank (Ireland) plc, and UniCredit International Bank Luxembourg SA is equalised with that of the parent because it is unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed by UniCredit.

UniCredit's DCR is at the same level as its Long-Term IDRs because in Italy derivative counterparties have no preferential legal status over other senior obligations in a resolution scenario.

IntesaSP

VR, IDRs AND SENIOR DEBT

IntesaSP's ratings reflect the bank's diversified and stable business model, combined with its leading domestic franchise in various market segments. IntesaSP's company profile has helped the group generate profitability that has remained above domestic peers' in a challenging operating environment. The bank's good execution track record has enabled it to generate consistent profitability through the economic cycle, which differentiates the bank domestically.

The ratings also reflect Fitch's view of the group's resilient capitalisation and robust funding structure, but also its asset quality, which remains weak compared with international peers, and the high level of unreserved impaired loans, which weighs on capitalisation.

Fitch affirmed IntesaSP's Short-Term IDR at 'F2', the higher of the two possibilities for a 'BBB' Long-Term IDR under our criteria, to reflect that the bank's short-term liquidity profile is supported by good access to central bank facilities given the ECB's accommodative policy.

The ratings of the senior debt issued by IntesaSP's funding vehicles, Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Ireland, Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Luxembourg, S.A. and Intesa Funding LLC, are equalised with that of the parent because the debt is unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed by IntesaSP and Fitch expects the parent to honour this guarantee.

SUBSIDIARY AND AFFILIATED COMPANY

The ratings of IntesaSP's Italian subsidiary Banca IMI reflect Fitch's view of its core function and extremely high integration within the group.

DCRs

IntesaSP and Banca IMI's DCRs are at the same level as their Long-Term IDRs because in Italy derivative counterparties have no preferential legal status over other senior obligations in a resolution scenario.

Credem

VR, IDRs AND SENIOR DEBT

Credem's ratings benefit from more healthy asset quality than at other Italian banks, helped by active targeting of more creditworthy customers. The ratings also reflect sound capitalisation and resilient profitability, due to a more diverse business model than that of many other medium-sized Italian commercial banks.

Fitch affirmed Credem's Short-Term IDR at 'F2', the higher of the two possibilities for a 'BBB' Long-Term IDR under our criteria, to reflect that the bank's short-term liquidity profile is supported by good access to central bank facilities given the ECB's accommodative policy.

Mediobanca

VR, IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND DCR

Mediobanca's ratings reflect the group's adequate capitalisation and leverage, which are commensurate with the bank's risk profile and are supported by sound internal capital generation. The ratings also reflect relatively strong asset quality by Italian bank standards, which compares adequately with international peers, and the group's ample liquidity.

Mediobanca's Short-Term IDR was affirmed at 'F2', the higher of the two possibilities for a 'BBB' Long-Term IDR under our criteria, to reflect that the bank's short-term liquidity profile is supported by good access to central bank facilities given the ECB's accommodative policy.

The ratings of the senior debt issued by Mediobanca International (Luxemburg) SA are equalised with the parent's Long-Term IDR because the debt is unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed by Mediobanca, and Fitch expects the parent to honour this guarantee.

Mediobanca's DCR is at the same level as its Long-Term IDR because in Italy derivative counterparties have no preferential legal status over other senior obligations in a resolution scenario.

SUPPORT RATINGS AND SUPPORT RATING FLOORS

UniCredit, IntesaSP, Credem and Mediobanca

UniCredit IntesaSP, Credem and Mediobanca's SR and Support Rating Floor reflect Fitch's view that senior creditors cannot rely on receiving full extraordinary support from the sovereign if a bank becomes non-viable. The EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive and the Single Resolution Mechanism for eurozone banks provide a framework for resolving banks that requires senior creditors to participate in losses, if necessary, instead of or ahead of a bank receiving sovereign support.

BNL

IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND SUPPORT RATING

The IDRs and SR reflect institutional support from BNL's parent, BNPP, as Fitch considers BNL core to BNPP's strategy and Italy a home market for the French group. Nonetheless, BNL's Long-Term IDR is capped at one notch above Italy's sovereign rating because in Fitch's view BNPP's propensity to support BNL is linked to Italy's operating environment. The operating environment in Italy affects the attractiveness of BNL to the group and the Italian subsidiary's impact on the French group's financial profile.

SUBORDINATED DEBT AND OTHER HYBRID SECURITIES

Subordinated debt and other hybrid capital securities issued by the banks are notched down from their respective VRs in accordance with Fitch's assessment of each instrument's respective non-performance and relative loss severity risk profiles.

Tier 2 subordinated debt is rated one notch below the VRs for loss severity to reflect below-average recovery prospects. No notching is applied for incremental non-performance risk because write-down of the notes will only occur once the point of non-viability is reached and there is no coupon flexibility before non-viability.

The legacy Upper Tier 2 debt rating reflects its higher loss severity given its subordination to senior unsecured and subordinated Tier 2 obligations (two notches) and incremental non-performance risk (one notch) for its cumulative coupon deferral subject to constraints.

Legacy Tier 1 notes are notched four times from the VR, two notches for loss severity for deep subordination and another two for non-performance risk as coupon deferral is constrained by look-back clauses.

Additional Tier 1 notes are rated five notches below the VRs, two notches for loss severity relative to senior unsecured creditors and three notches for incremental non-performance risk, the latter notching reflecting the instruments' fully discretionary interest payment.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

UniCredit

VR, IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND DCR

UniCredit's ratings could be downgraded if the bank fails to demonstrate notable, sustained progress in selling down and reducing the remaining stock of impaired exposures. The bank intends to dispose of around EUR18 billion impaired loans remaining following the disposal of EUR1 billion in 4Q16 and the portion of the EUR17.7 billion securitised NPLs (in the FINO transaction) that it has not yet sold to third-party investors by 2018. The ratings could also be downgraded if there is material slippage in its cost reduction plan.

UniCredit's rating remains sensitive to the operating environment in Italy, particularly as this affects asset quality and earnings. A notable economic improvement could be beneficial for the ratings, if accompanied by a substantial reduction of impaired loans, while further deterioration could be negative.

It is possible that Fitch will at some point assign common VRs to UniCredit S.p.A. and its German banking subsidiary to reflect the increasingly close integration between the two entities. Capital and funding are progressively becoming more fungible across the group, and the German subsidiary UniCredit Bank AG is large in relation to the group, highly integrated into the parent and supervised by the same regulator, the ECB.

UniCredit Bank Austria AG's relative size fell and its business model has become domestically focused following the transfer of CEE subsidiaries to the parent. If and when we conclude that capital has become essentially fungible within the group, we would equalise the Austrian subsidiary's ratings with those of its Italian parent because Bank Austria is highly integrated into the parent and supervised by the same regulator.

The ratings of the senior debt issued by UniCredit's funding vehicles, UniCredit Bank (Ireland) plc, and UniCredit International Bank Luxembourg SA, are sensitive to the same considerations as the senior unsecured debt issued by the parent.

IntesaSP

VR, IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND DCR

IntesaSP's ratings could be downgraded if the bank does not meet its impaired loan reduction targets and its capital remains highly exposed to unreserved impaired loans. Similarly, deterioration in the bank's funding and liquidity would put pressure on the ratings, as would prioritising dividend distribution over capital retention in case of need. IntesaSP's ratings remain sensitive to deterioration in the operating environment in Italy and to Italy's sovereign ratings.

Its Short-Term IDR would be downgraded if the bank is unable to successfully manage the ECB tapering and replace central bank funding with market funding.

An upgrade of the ratings would require a significant improvement of the bank's asset quality and, given its overwhelmingly domestic operations, an upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating.

The ratings of the senior debt issued by Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Ireland, Intesa Sanpaolo Bank Luxembourg, S.A. and Intesa Funding LLC are sensitive to the same considerations that affect the senior unsecured debt issued by the parent.

SUBSIDIARY AND AFFILIATED COMPANIES

IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND DCR

As Banca IMI's ratings are based on its parent's Long-Term IDR, they are sensitive to changes in IntesaSP's propensity to provide support and to changes in the parent's Long-Term IDR.

Credem

VR, IDRS AND SENIOR DEBT

Credem's ratings are primarily sensitive to a material deterioration in asset quality, which could be the result of weaker underwriting standards, which we do not expect, or exposure to the domestic economy. Ratings could also be downgraded if capitalisation deteriorates as a result of strong loan growth or if the bank increases its risk appetite.

Credem's Short-Term IDR would be downgraded if the bank is unable to successfully manage the ECB tapering and replace central bank funding with market funding.

Credem's ratings remain sensitive to a deterioration in the operating environment in Italy and to Italy's sovereign rating. Any upgrade of the ratings would first require an upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating.

Mediobanca

VR, IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND DCR

Mediobanca's ratings are primarily sensitive to a deterioration in the operating environment in Italy and to Italy's sovereign rating. Any upgrade of the ratings would first require an upgrade of Italy's sovereign rating.

Increased risk appetite, such as expanding higher-risk activities in non-Italian operations, increasing concentration risk, or a less rigorous approach to pricing consumer finance risks or asset-quality deterioration could lead to a downgrade. Similarly, significantly increased volumes of foreign-originated activities without an equivalent evolution of its risk controls framework could put pressure on the bank's ratings. Deterioration of group liquidity and funding could also result in a downgrade.

Fitch would downgrade the bank's Short-Term IDR if it is unable to successfully manage the ECB tapering and replace central bank funding with market funding.

SUPPORT RATING AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR

UniCredit, IntesaSP, Credem and Mediobanca

An upgrade of the SRs and upward revision of the Support Rating Floors would be contingent on a positive change in the sovereign's propensity to support the banks. In Fitch's view this is highly unlikely, although not impossible.

A change in the sovereign's propensity to provide support to the banking sector in general would not necessarily mean that the propensity to provide support to Mediobanca increases to the same extent, because of its limited retail deposit and lending market shares.

BNL

IDRS, SENIOR DEBT AND SR

BNL's IDRs and SR are also sensitive to a change in Fitch's assessment of BNPP's propensity and ability to provide support to its subsidiary. A downgrade of BNPP's IDRs will only affect BNL's IDRs and SR if the parent's Long-Term IDR is downgraded by more than three notches.

The Short-Term IDR may come under pressure if short-term liquidity support from its parent weakens, which Fitch does not expect. The IDRs and SR remain sensitive to a change in Italy's sovereign rating and would probably be downgraded further if Italy was downgraded.

SUBORDINATED DEBT AND OTHER HYBRID SECURITIES

The subordinated debt and hybrid securities' ratings are primarily sensitive to changes in the VRs, from which they are notched. The ratings are also sensitive to a change in the notes' notching, which could arise if Fitch changes its assessment of their non-performance relative to the risk captured in the VRs or their expected loss severity. For Additional Tier 1 issues this could reflect a change in capital management or flexibility, or an unexpected shift in regulatory buffers and requirements, for example.

Contact:

Primary Analysts

Francesca Vasciminno (UniCredit, IntesaSP, Mediobanca)

Senior Director

+39 02 87 90 87 225

Fitch Italia S.p.A.

Via Privata Maria Teresa, 8

20123 Milan

Gianluca Romeo (BNL)

Director

+39 02 8790 87 201

Fitch Italia S.p.A.

Via Privata Maria Teresa 8

20123 Milan

Claudia Nelson (Credem)

Senior Director

+44 20 3530 1191

Fitch Ratings Ltd

30 North Colonnade

London E14 5GN

Secondary Analysts

Valeria Pasto (IntesaSP)

Analyst

+39 02 879087 298

Manuela Banfi (Credem, BNL)

Associate Director

+39 02 87 90 87 202

Fabio Ianno (UniCredit, Mediobanca)

Director

+44 20 3530 1232

Committee Chair

Bridget Gandy

Managing Director

Media Relations: Peter Fitzpatrick, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1103, Email: peter.fitzpatrick@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Global Bank Rating Criteria (pub. 25 Nov 2016)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/891051>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001